

**Intel Corporation**  
4030 Lafayette Center Drive  
Chantilly, VA 20151

Page 1 of 11

***Urgent and Confidential***

Date: November 10, 2005

**FAX**  
RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
NOV 10 2005

**TO:** **USPTO**  
Examiner S. Bhattacharya  
Art Unit 2687  
Fax Number 571-273-8300

**FROM:** **Paul E. Steiner**  
Fax Number 703-633-3303  
Phone Number 703-633-6830

**SUBJECT:** Application Number 09/812,474  
Inventor(s) Lenka M. Jelinek  
Date Filed March 19, 2001  
Docket Number P10275  
Title Antenna System, Software And Methods  
For Locating An Object


**INCLUDED IN THIS TRANSMISSION:**

Fax Cover Sheet	1 page
Transmittal	1 page
Response under 37 CFR § 1.116	9 pages

I hereby certify that the above listed correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO to:

Commissioner for Patents, PO BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 10, 2005

Cathy Dikes

**Important Notice**

This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmittal sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately so that arrangements can be made for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/812,474	
	Filing Date	March 19, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Lenka M. Jelinek	
	Art Unit	2687	
	Examiner Name	S. Bhattacharya	
Total Number of Pages In This Submission	11	Attorney Docket Number	P10275

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
NOV 10 2005

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement  <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Fax cover sheet
Remarks		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm Name	Intel Americas	
Signature	/Paul E. Steiner/	
Printed name	Paul E. Steiner	
Date	November 10, 2005	Reg. No. 41,328

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:	
Signature	<i>Cathy Dikes</i>
Typed or printed name	Cathy Dikes
Date	November 10, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
NOV 10 2005**

In re patent application of:

Lenka M. Jelinek

Serial No.: 09/812,474

Group Art Unit: 2687

Filed: March 19, 2001

Examiner: S. Bhattacharya

FOR: ANTENNA SYSTEM, SOFTWARE AND METHODS FOR  
LOCATING AN OBJECT**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**Mail Stop -- After Final  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action mailed August 10, 2005, applicants provide the following remarks.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-2, 5-11, 13-19, 22-23, and 25-26 remain pending in the application for reconsideration.

Applicants are extremely frustrated by the protracted prosecution on the part of the Patent Office in the present case. First, after prosecuting the case with Examiner Le, interviewing the case and agreeing on patentable subject matter, the newly assigned Examiner failed to extend full faith and credit to the prior Examiner's decision, as required by MPEP §§ 704.01 and 706.04, and essentially re-opened the prosecution of the present case. Now, following applicants' response to the new office action, the Examiner fails to answer applicants' specific traversals and prematurely makes the office action final. In each case, the Examiner re-formulates or re-states applicants' arguments and

P10275